

Adverse Community Experiences and Resilience

A FRAMEWORK FOR ADDRESSING AND PREVENTING COMMUNITY TRAUMA



This paper was supported by a grant from Kaiser Permanente Northern California Community Benefit Program



Contents

Funding and Authorship
Acknowledgements
Executive Summary
Introduction: The Need for Understanding Trauma at a Community Level
Themes and Findings9
A. There is growing understanding about trauma, particularly its prevalence and impact. The predominant approach to dealing with trauma is screening and treatment, consistent with a medical model
1. Trauma is pervasive
2. Trauma has a significant impact on development, health, and well-being
3. Concepts of poly-victimization, complex trauma are changing research on multiple exposures to violence, trauma
4. Trauma-informed care is becoming a standard of care10
5. The main construct for addressing individual trauma is based on a medical model
B. Trauma manifests at the community level. There are emerging practices to address trauma at the community level, yet there is not a framework for addressing and preventing it
6. In high-violence neighborhoods, it's widely believed that whole communities are traumatized
7. Recently, there has been a slight shift toward understanding trauma as collective
8. The predominant focus for addressing trauma remains at the individual level
9. Trauma is a barrier to effective solutions to promote health, safety, and well-being
10. Community trauma symptoms are in the social-cultural, physical/built, and economic environments
11. Emerging practices address community trauma, including indigenous-based healing and restorative justice
12. No current framework defines community trauma and identifies its symptoms, which is needed
13. There is an uneven level of capacity to conceptualize and address community trauma
The Production of Trauma from Violence
Towards a Framework for Community Trauma and How to Address and Prevent It 22
Fostering Resilient, Thriving Communities
Promoting Community Resilience: From Trauma to Well-being
Conclusion: It's Time to Take on Adverse Community Conditions
References
Figure 1The Community Environment13Figure 2The Production of Trauma from Violence21Figure 3Community Strategies to Address Community Trauma23Figure 4Elements of a Resilient Community26Figure 5Promoting Community Resilience: From Trauma to Well-being29

Funding and Authorship

This paper was made possible by a grant to Prevention Institute from Kaiser Permanente Northern California Community Benefit Program.

Principal Authors

Howard Pinderhughes, Ph.D. Rachel A. Davis, M.S.W. Myesha Williams, M.S.W.

Prevention Institute is a nonprofit, national center dedicated to improving community health and well-being by building momentum for effective primary prevention. Primary prevention means taking action to build resilience and to prevent problems before they occur. The Institute's work is characterized by a strong commitment to community participation and promotion of equitable health outcomes among all social and economic groups. Since its founding in 1997, the organization has focused on community prevention, injury and violence prevention, health equity, healthy eating and active living, positive youth development, health system transformation and mental health and well-being. For more information, visit www.preventioninstitute.org.

Howard Pinderhughes is an Associate Professor in the School of Nursing at UC San Francisco. His focus areas include sociological theory; youth violence and adolescent health; race, ethnicity, and health inequality; and social change. The author of *Race in the Hood: Conflict and Violence Among Urban Youth,* Pinderhughes has examined the dynamics of racial violence. In *Dealing With Danger: How Inner City Youth Cope with the Violence that Surrounds Them* (forthcoming) he examines the production of youth violence and how urban adolescents think about and experience violence and trauma. Additionally, Pinderhughes was Co-Principal Investigator for the Center on Culture, Immigration and Youth Violence Prevention, one of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Academic Centers of Excellence in Youth Violence Prevention. He is on Prevention Institute's UNITY team, working with US cities to prevent violence.

Kaiser Permanente is recognized as one of America's leading healthcare providers and not-for-profit health plans. Recognizing its unique role as both a healthcare provider and community partner Kaiser Permanente provides funding and clinical expertise to work side-by-side with other organizations to address serious public health issues such as violence and obesity. Through its *Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study,* conducted with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Kaiser Permanente demonstrated the connection between violence related trauma and the long-term health of individuals. Through its Healthy Eating Active Living (HEAL) investments the organization promotes community healing with support for individuals, families and safe public spaces. In 2014, Kaiser Permanente provided more than \$18 million to build healthy, safe environments in northern California alone. For more information visit kp.org/communitybenefit/ncal.

Pinderhughes H, Davis R, Williams M. (2015). Adverse Community Experiences and Resilience: A Framework for Addressing and Preventing Community Trauma. Prevention Institute, Oakland CA.

Acknowledgements

Prevention Institute is grateful to Kaiser Permanente Northern California Community Benefit Program for supporting the development of this paper. We particularly want to thank **Jodi Ravel,** Senior Manager, Regional Community Benefit Programs for her support and contributions to the development of this paper. We are also grateful to the many members of the UNITY City Network who have shared their experiences of working in high-violence communities with us and with each other, which has informed the development of this body of work. Finally, we thank the following people from the Northern California cities of San Francisco, Oakland, Richmond, Vallejo, South Sacramento, Stockton and Fresno who shaped our understanding of the current landscape through interviews:

Ellen Brown

former Community Benefit Manager for South Sacramento, Kaiser Permanente

Kanwarpal Dhaliwal

Director of Community Health and Integrative Practice, RYSE

Paul Flores

Manager, Latino Men and Boys at the UNITY Council

Krista Girty

Director, Huckleberry Youth Health Center

Christina Goette

Director, Shape Up SF

Jim Illig

Community Benefit Manager for San Francisco, Kaiser Permanente

Greg King

Founder and CEO, Always Knocking

Margo Levi

Clinical Director, Huckleberry Youth Health Center

DeAngelo Mack

SVIP Program Coordinator, Wellspace Health

Tariq Mohammad

Organizer, Families and Fathers of San Joaquin

Susan Neufeld

Vice President Resident Programs and Services, Bridge Housing

Samuel Nunez

Executive Director,
Families and Fathers of San Joaquin

Marie Sanchez

Community Benefit Manager for Modesto, Stockton, San Joaquin County and Stanislaus, Kaiser Permanente

Kevin Sharps

Executive Director, Fighting Back Partnership

Kyndra Simmons

Program Manager, Caught in the Crossfire

Ivonne Der Torosian

former Community Benefit/Community Health Manager for Fresno, Kaiser Permanente

Cynthia Verrett

Community Benefit Manager for Napa-Solano, Kaiser Permanente



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Framework for Addressing and Preventing Community Trauma

Introduction

Many communities are working to prevent violence and promote community safety and, through comprehensive, multi-sector actions, are making progress. However, communities that experience high rates of violence continue to be plagued with persistently high rates of trauma. Trauma and its associated symptoms of mental and psychological illness are more prevalent in the U.S. than in most other countries in the world. What's more, trauma can be a barrier to the most successful implementation of healing and well-being strategies, including those to prevent violence.

The impact of trauma extends beyond the individuals who directly witness or experience violence. Trauma is also produced by structural violence, which prevents people and communities from meeting their basic needs. The result is both high levels of trauma across the population and a breakdown of social networks, social relationships and positive social norms across the community — all of which could otherwise be protective against violence and other health outcomes. While new models are emerging to counter the effects of trauma, promote community healing and foster community resilience, there has not been an existing framework for understanding, addressing and preventing trauma at a community or population level. Our paper provides one.

The Landscape of Trauma

- There is growing understanding that trauma is widespread and has far-reaching impacts. The predominant approach to dealing with trauma is screening and treatment, consistent with a medical model.
 - Trauma is pervasive.
 - Trauma has a significant impact on development, health and well-being.
 - Trauma-informed care is becoming a standard practice in a growing number of places.
 - The predominant construct for addressing individual trauma is based on a medical model.
- Trauma manifests at the community level. There are emerging practices to address trauma at the community level, but there is not yet a framework for addressing and preventing it.
 - In communities with high levels of violence, the idea that whole communities are traumatized is a widespread belief.
 - In recent years, there has been a slight shift from understanding trauma solely at the individual level to also include collective trauma.
 - Despite the increasing recognition of the widespread nature of trauma as an epidemic at the population level, the predominant focus for addressing trauma remains at the individual level.
 - Policy makers, public health officials, social services providers and community organizers report that trauma undermines efforts to promote health, safety and well-being.
 - There are manifestations, or symptoms, of community trauma at the community level. The symptoms are present in the social-cultural environment, the physical/ built environment and the economic environment.
 - The social-cultural environment: The economic and social processes that concentrate poverty and urban decay in inner city neighborhoods damage social networks and trust, the ability to take action for change, and social norms.



• The physical/built environment: Economic and social changes in the last 50 years have led to communities where high rates of poverty are concentrated in neighborhoods with crumbling infrastructure: There are dilapidated buildings and deteriorating roads, poor transportation services and crippled local economies. The pressures of gentrification and displacement have become an added element in the toxic stress that exacerbates community trauma in poor inner-city, and suburban, communities.

- The economic environment: Multiple studies have found that levels of violence, crime and delinquency, education, psychological distress, and various health problems are affected by neighborhood characteristics, particularly the concentration of poverty. The stressors of living with inadequate access to economic and educational opportunities or inequitable opportunities can also indicate trauma at the community level.
- There are emerging practices, including indigenous-based healing and restorative justice, to address trauma at the community level.
- Until now there has been no framework that defines community trauma and identifies the elements or "symptoms" of community trauma. The framework outlined here would allow for an analysis of the full impact of community trauma and inform more comprehensive strategies to address and prevent it.
- While many urban communities have people working on issues related to trauma, there is an
 uneven level of capacity (e.g., resources, funding, knowledge and expertise) to conceptualize
 and address community trauma.

How to Address and Prevent Community Trauma

A number of community-level strategies are emerging to address community trauma and promote community healing and resilience. The most effective strategies build on indigenous knowledge, expertise and leadership to produce strategies that are culturally relevant and appropriate.

- Strategies within the **social-cultural environment** are intended to counter the symptoms of community trauma and support healing and connection between people, while shifting norms to support safe and healthy behaviors. Some of the most successful youth development, violence prevention and health promotion programs build on existing community assets and are dependent on community members and organizations that connect individual youth and adults to a supportive community. Where this community organizational infrastructure and capacity is lacking or absent, violence and trauma have a more profound impact on individuals and communities.
- Strategies within the **physical/built environment** focus on improving the physical environment, reducing deterioration, and creating space for positive interaction. Reclaiming public space to be appealing to residents, reflective of community culture, and a source of pride can contribute to a sense of community worth and be supportive of healing. The systematic disinvestment and



hoto provided by Jessie Daniels

neglect of poor inner city communities has been a part of the structural violence that has produced community trauma over the last 60 years. Healing from this trauma requires that the roads, buildings, parks, transportation and public services be improved and maintained so they encourage positive social interaction and relationships, as well as healthy behaviors and activities.

• Strategies to **improve economic opportunities** for youth and adults in highly impacted neighborhoods are critical to the success of attempts to heal from community trauma, improve community health and wellness, and resist the pressures of gentrification and dislocation. These strategies must be multi-sectoral, focusing on different segments of communities, and should include: increasing the number of young people and adults who attend college; job training and placement for non-college bound youth; and job training and job-readiness training and placement of formerly incarcerated members of the community. It is critical that these employment opportunities come with a livable wage that can support a family.



Conclusion

Too many communities are plagued by trauma from experiencing adverse community conditions, including interpersonal violence and structural violence. Trauma-informed care has become a standard practice in health care and mental health care provision and education in many communities. While this is an incredibly important development, it's also critical that attention go beyond individuals and beyond a focus solely on treatment and protocols after exposure to traumatic conditions. Addressing community trauma requires attention at a population level and consideration of what can be done to prevent trauma in the first place.